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| **MEETING SUBJECT:** | **PINTAD 2017 January Teleconference** |
| **DATE / TIME:** | **27JAN17 / 11:00 AM EST** |
| **PREPARED BY:** | **Daniel Clark**  |
| **LOCATION:** | **Teleconference** |

**MEETING SUMMARY**

DISCUSSION POINTS:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **1** | **Welcome** |
| **2** | **Quick update on DICOM 19 from meeting last year:****Follow-up still pending on putting together user stories** |
| **2** | **Main topic:Implementing CDISC Standards in Imaging Clinical Trials - Challenges and Lessons Learned (see slide deck)****Discussion points during and after presentation:*** **Dewen brought up a point that the CDISC CT Anatomical Location List (LOC) was very extensive and contains many locations which are not applicable to standard oncology trials. David and Greg clarified that the location list is collated from a very broad range of indications and criteria, and that the set of locations to be used for a particular trial should be selected based on the context, e.g. for a melanoma trial finer skin locations are valuable, but not for a breast cancer study**
* **Daniel mentioned that there are only few channels of communication to CDISC, and that locating information and guidance on the CDISC websites can be difficult**

**Some members supported this viewpoint, and some members mentioned that the CDISC volunteer and working groups are very active and informative and welcome input*** **Daniel proposed the founding of an imaging-specific CDISC User Group initially under the PINTAD umbrella, for expressions of interest please contact Daniel.clark@PAREXEL.com**
 |
| **3** | **Next meeting** **Friday, 24FEB17 11:00 am ET** |